UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

THE DRAGONWOOD CONSERVANCY,)	
PLEGUAR CORPORATION, TERRY CULLEN)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
VS.)	
)	
PAUL FELICIAN, and)	
PHIL SIMMERT II,)	Case No. 2:16-cv-00534-NJ
Defendants)	

PLAINTIFF'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICTS

NOW COMES PLAINTIFFS by undersigned counsel, and respectfully submit their PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICTS ("Claim No. 1" through "Claim #13); which Plaintiffs request be given to the Jury.

/s/ electronically signed by
Attorney Mark Murphy
Attorney Mark Murphy, WisBar#1017745
Counsel for Plaintiffs
657 S 72nd Street
Milwaukee, WI 53214
414.322.5452 mobile
attorneymarkmurphy@sbcglobal.net

Dated: APRIL 19, 2021

Thomas W. Kyle Wis. Bar No. 1027909 Attorney for Plaintiffs 11063 W. Bluemound Road Wauwatosa, Wisconsin 53226 Telephone: (414) 375-2030 Facsimile: (414) 622-1225 tom@grothlawfirm.com

Claim No. 1 Particularity/Overbroad Warrant

PHIL SIMMERT	
Question No. 1:	
Did the warrants lack particularity as to the descrip	tion of the personal property to be
seized?	
Answer: Yes	No
Question No. 2:	
If you answered "Yes" to Question 1, then was perso	nal property unreasonably seized?

Answer: Yes_____ No____

Question No. 3:

If you answered "Yes" to Question 2, then specify which of Plaintiffs' personal property(s) below were unreasonably seized:

A)	Animals	Answer:	Yes	No
B)	Firearms	Answer:	Yes	No
C)	Money/Cash	Answer:	Yes	No
D)	Jewelry	Answer:	Yes	No
E)	Tools	Answer:	Yes	No
F)	Computers	Answer:	Yes	No
G)	Permits	Answer:	Yes	No
H)	Documents	Answer:	Yes	No
I)	Other business paperwork	Answer:	Yes	No
J)	Other personal property	Answer:	Yes	No

Claim No. 2 Exceeded Scope of Warrant

PHIL SIMMERT & PAUL FELICIAN

Question No. 4:

	Was personal property unreasonably seized that was not listed on the warrant?					
		Answer:	Yes	No		
Ques	tion No. 5:					
below	If you answered "Yes" to Que	estion 4, then s	pecify which of Plaintif	fs' personal property(s		
A)	Animals	Answer:	Yes	No		
В)	Firearms	Answer:	Yes	No		
C)	Money/Cash	Answer:	Yes	No		
D)	Jewelry	Answer:	Yes	No		
E)	Tools	Answer:	Yes	No		
F)	Computers	Answer:	Yes	No		
G)	Permits	Answer:	Yes	No		
H)	Documents	Answer:	Yes	No		
I)	Other business paperwork	Answer:	Yes	No		
J)	Other personal property	Answer:	Yes	No		

Claim No. 3 Unreasonable Search

PAUL FELICIAN

Question No. 6:

Did Lt. Paul	Felician search	or cause to	be searched,	any of Plaintiffs	' properties in an
unreasonable mann	ner?				

Answer:	Yes	No

Question No. 7:

If you answered "Yes" to Question 6, then specify which properties below that Lt. Paul Felician conducted an unreasonable search of:

A)	13 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
B)	16 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
C)	17 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
D)	KK Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No

Claim No. 4 Failure to Intervene

PAUL FELICIAN

Question No. 8:

Did Lt. Paul Felician know or	reasonably sh	ould have known that	other City of Milwaukee
police officers were conducting an u	unreasonable s	earch of any of Plainti	ffs' properties?
	Answer:	Yes	No
Question No. 9:			
Did Lt. Paul Felician have a re	ealistic opportu	unity to prevent an unr	easonable search of any
of Plaintiffs' properties by other City	y of Milwaukee	e police officers?	
	Answer:	Yes	No
Question No. 10:			
Did Lt. Paul Felician fail to to	ake reasonable	e steps to prevent dam	nage to any of Plaintiffs'
properties by other City of Milwauk	ee police office	ers?	
	Answer:	Yes	No

Question No. 11:

If you answered "Yes" to Questions 8, 9, and 10, then specify which properties below that Lt. Paul Felician failed to take reasonable steps to prevent damage to:

A)	13 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
B)	16 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
•	17 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
	KK Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No.

Claim No. 5 Unreasonable Search

Question No. 12:

Did Det.	Phil Simmert	search or c	ause to be	searched,	any of	Plaintiffs'	properties in	า an
unreasonable m	anner?							

Answer:	Yes	No
---------	-----	----

Question No. 13:

If you answered "Yes" to Question 12, then specify which properties below that Det. Phil Simmert conducted an unreasonable search of:

A)	13 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
B)	16 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
	17 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
	KK Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No

Claim No. 6 Failure to Intervene

PHIL SIMMERT

Question No. 14:

Did Det. Phil Simmert kno	ow or reasona	bly should have	known that other City of	
Milwaukee police officers were	conducting an	unreasonable se	arch of any of Plaintiffs'	
properties?				
	Answer:	Yes	No	
Question No. 15:				
Did Det. Phil Simmert have	a realistic oppo	rtunity to prevent	an unreasonable search of	
any of Plaintiffs' properties by othe	r City of Milwau	ukee police officers	i?	
	Answer:	Yes	No	
Question No. 16:				
Did Det. Phil Simmert fail to take reasonable steps to prevent damage to any of Plaintiffs'				
properties by other City of Milwauk	ee police office	rs?		
	Answer:	Yes	No	

Question No. 17:

If you answered "Yes" to Questions 14, 15, and 16, then specify which properties below Det. Phil Simmert failed to take reasonable steps to prevent damage to:

A)	13 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
B)	16 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
	17 th Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No
	KK Street Property	Answer:	Yes	No

Claim No. 7 Unreasonable Seizure

PAUL FELICIAN

Question No. 18:

	Did Lt. Paul Felicia	n seize or cause t	to be seized,	any of the Pla	intiffs' per	rsonal prop	erty in
an unr	easonable manner?	?					

Answer:	Yes	No

Question No. 19:

If you answered "Yes" to Question 18, then specify which of Plaintiffs' personal property below that Lt. Paul Felician conducted an unreasonable seizure of:

K)	Animals	Answer:	Yes	No
L)	Firearms	Answer:	Yes	No
M)	Money/Cash	Answer:	Yes	No
N)	Jewelry	Answer:	Yes	No
O)	Tools	Answer:	Yes	No
P)	Computers	Answer:	Yes	No
Q)	Permits	Answer:	Yes	No
R)	Documents	Answer:	Yes	No
S)	Other business paperwork	Answer:	Yes	No
T)	Other personal property	Answer:	Yes	No

Claim No. 8 Failure to Intervene

PAUL FELICIAN

Question No. 20:

Did Lt. Paul Felician know or	reasonably sh	ould have known that	other City of Milwaukee	
police officers were seizing any of the	he Plaintiffs' po	ersonal property in an	unreasonable manner?	
	Answer:	Yes	No	
Question No. 21:				
Did Lt. Paul Felician have a	realistic oppo	rtunity to prevent an	unreasonable seizure of	
any of Plaintiffs' personal property	by other City o	f Milwaukee police of	ficers?	
	Answer:	Yes	No	
Question No. 22:				
Did Lt. Paul Felician fail to take reasonable steps to prevent the unreasonable seizure of				
any of Plaintiffs' personal property by other City of Milwaukee police officers?				
	Answer:	Yes	No	

Question No. 23:

If you answered "Yes" to Questions 20, 21, and 22, then specify which property(s) below that Lt. Paul Felician failed to take reasonable steps to prevent an unreasonable seizure of:

A)	Animals	Answer:	Yes	No
B)	Firearms	Answer:	Yes	No
C)	Money/Cash	Answer:	Yes	No
D)	Jewelry	Answer:	Yes	No
E)	Tools	Answer:	Yes	No
F)	Computers	Answer:	Yes	No
G)	Permits	Answer:	Yes	No
H)	Documents	Answer:	Yes	No
I)	Other business paperwork	Answer:	Yes	No
J)	Other personal property	Answer:	Yes	No

Claim No. 9 Unreasonable Seizure

PHIL SIMMERT

Question No. 24:

Did Det. Phil S	immert seize or cause to	be seized, any o	f the Plaintiffs'	personal	property
in an unreasonable m	anner?				

Answer:	Yes	No

Question No. 25:

If you answered "Yes" to Question 24, then specify which of Plaintiffs' personal property below that Det. Phil Simmert conducted an unreasonable seizure of:

A)	Animals	Answer:	Yes	No
B)	Firearms	Answer:	Yes	No
C)	Money/Cash	Answer:	Yes	No
D)	Jewelry	Answer:	Yes	No
E)	Tools	Answer:	Yes	No
F)	Computers	Answer:	Yes	No
G)	Permits	Answer:	Yes	No
H)	Documents	Answer:	Yes	No
I)	Other business paperwork	Answer:	Yes	No
J)	Other personal property	Answer:	Yes	No

Claim No. 10 Failure to Intervene

PHIL SIMMERT

Question No. 26:

Did Det. Phil Simmert kno	ow or reasona	ably should have kno	own that other City of
		•	·
Milwaukee police officers were seizi	ing any of the P	'laintiffs' personal prop	perty in an unreasonable
manner?			
	Answer:	Yes	No
Question No. 27:			
Did Det. Phil Simmert have a	a realistic oppo	ortunity to prevent an	unreasonable seizure of
any of Plaintiffs' personal property	by other City o	f Milwaukee police off	icers?
	Answer:	Yes	No
Question No. 28:			
Did Det. Phil Simmert fail to	take reasonal	ole steps to prevent th	ne unreasonable seizure
of any of Plaintiffs' personal proper	ty by other Cit	y of Milwaukee police	officers?
	Answer:	Yes	No

Question No. 29:

If you answered "Yes" to all of Questions 26, 27, and 28, then specify which property Det.

Phil Simmert failed to take reasonable steps to prevent an unreasonable seizure of any of Plaintiffs' personal property by other City of Milwaukee police officers:

A)	Animals	Answer:	Yes	No
B)	Firearms	Answer:	Yes	No
C)	Money/Cash	Answer:	Yes	No
D)	Jewelry	Answer:	Yes	No
E)	Tools	Answer:	Yes	No
F)	Computers	Answer:	Yes	No
G)	Permits	Answer:	Yes	No
H)	Documents	Answer:	Yes	No
I)	Other business paperwork	Answer:	Yes	No
J)	Other personal property	Answer:	Yes	No

Claim No. 11 Failure to Supervise

PAUL FELICIAN

Question No. 30:

Did Lt. Paul Felician fail to supervise Det. Phil Simmert and/or other subordinates as they conducted an unreasonable search and/or seizure of Plaintiffs' property?

Answer: Yes_____ No____

Question No. 31:

If you answered "Yes" to Question 30, then specify which properties below that Lt. Paul Felician failed to supervise Det. Phil Simmert and/or his subordinates as they conducted an unreasonable search and/or seizure of Plaintiffs' property:

A) 13th Street Property Answer: Yes_____ No_____

B) 16th Street Property Answer: Yes_____ No_____

C) 17th Street Property Answer: Yes_____ No_____

D) KK Street Property Answer: Yes No

Claim No. 12 Punitive Liability

PAUL FELICIAN

Question No. 32:

Was the conduct of Lt. Paul Felician malicious or in reckless disregard of any of the three								
Plaintif	fs' rights?							
	Answe	r: Yes		No				
Question No. 33:								
	If you answered "Yes" to Question	32, then :	specify which Pla	intiffs had their r	ights			
disregarded by Lt. Paul Felician:								
A)	Terry Cullen personally	Answer:	Yes	No				
В)	Pleguar Corporation	Answer:	Yes	No				
C)	The Dragonwood Conservancy	Answer:	Yes	No				

Claim No. 13 Punitive Liability

PHIL SIMMERT

Question No. 34:

Was the conduct of Det. Phil Simmert malicious or in reckless disregard of any of the three								
Plainti	ffs' rights?							
	Answe	er: Yes		No				
Question No. 35:								
	If you answered "Yes" to Question	n 34, then	specify which Pla	intiffs had their	rights			
disregarded by Det. Phil Simmert:								
D)	Terry Cullen personally	Answer:	Yes	No				
E)	Pleguar Corporation	Answer:	Yes	No				
F)	The Dragonwood Conservancy	Answer:	Yes	No				